Low Interest Rates and Government Debt

John H. Cochrane Hoover Institution, Stanford University https://www.johnhcochrane.com

Why are rates so low? When and how will it end?



- Steady trend since 1980
- Savings gluts, fx reserves, central banks QE, zero bound, liquidity demands, etc. icing. Cake?

Econ 101 basics



$$r = \delta + \gamma g = \theta f'(k)$$

Real rate = impatience + $(1-2) \times \text{growth} = \text{marg. product capital}$

- More patience (saving demand) lowers r given g, or raises g given r. Less g lowers r.
- Less capital intensive (services)? Fewer ideas (end of growth)? More tax and regulation?

What happened in 1980?



$$E(R_i) = R^f + \beta_i \lambda$$

Average return = risk free rate + beta x premium

- 1970s: inflation rises in recessions, bond prices fall. Positive beta.
- 1980-now: Inflation falls in recessions, gov't bond prices rise, private bonds fall, dollar rises, "flight to safety." Gov't bonds are a negative beta security, pay less than Rf!
- "Anchored" inflation expectations. But why? Good speeches? How long?

Government bonds special?



• A bit of icing on the cake? But not the main story.

Dollar special?



• Euro, Japan rates (real to) are even lower (and lower growth).

Low r and government finances

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{B}{Y}\right) = (r-g)\frac{B}{Y} - \frac{s}{Y}$$

Debt/GDP ratio grows at (interest-growth) less surplus/GDP ratio.

What about r<g?

1. Government can run steady primary deficits s<0 forever, and keep B/Y constant. A form of ``seignorage."

2. Government can run a big one-time fiscal expansion, then grow out with zero primary surplus afterwards.

(Note:

1. As long as low r is scaleable. At some point more B means higher r.

2. Zero primary surpluses, not endless deficits. Still pay taxes!)

My view: Brilliant. (Read Blanchard AEA!) But irrelevant to US, EU fiscal situation and current policy questions.



$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{B}{Y}\right) = (r-g)\frac{B}{Y} - \frac{s}{Y} = 0?$$

- 100% B/Y, 1% r-g pays for -1% s/Y not -5% 10% s/Y.
- And this is *before* any big fiscal expansion, and *excludes* 20% of GDP in each crisis (there will be more).
- US fiscal issue is European entitlements with American taxes.



- This is *before* a "one time" fiscal expansion or the next (inevitable) crisis (arrow, ?).
- r<g does not justify endlessly growing debt/GDP.
- r<g and s=0 takes a long time to grow out of debt.

Year	0	10	25	50	100	110	138
B/Y	200	181	156	121	74		50
B/Y	150	135	116	91	55	50	

Debt/GDP with surplus=0, r-g=-1%

- Want to bring debt down faster? Run surpluses!
- r-g> or< 0 not special. r-g=-0.01%? Grow out in 1,000 years. Or repay with surplus.

The danger

How/when does r change?

- 1. More g with higher r? Not so bad even if r>g.
- 2. Inflation, lose negative beta. Happens fast and with economic problems.
- 3. Doom loop/sovereign crisis. (More r less g)
 - 1. 200% debt/GDP.
 - 2. Markets worry. R rises to 5%.
 - 3. r = 5% = 10% of GDP debt service.
 - 4. Markets worry more. R rises to 10%...
 - 5. Either sharp inflation or default.
- 4. Default? Can happen!
 - 1. Pandemic/war/crisis. Need \$5 trillion (20% GDP) stat. + \$10 trillion roll over
 - 2. Rollover /borrowing trouble (5% rate).
 - 3. Continued political chaos.
 - 4. Pay China, "the rich" "Wall Street" ahead of needy Americans?
 - 5. Default. Really haircut, rescheduling, forced conversion to low rate long debt.
 - 6. Financial and economic catastrophe. Default and inflation.
 - 7. NB move to long term financing would help immensely.
- 5. More g! Need not come with higher r. *Faster innovation/productivity led growth is the best hope.*
- 6. Spend as if you have to pay it back. You do.
- 7. Why is r low? Good question. Beware trends without economic foundation. "Stocks are at a permanently higher plateau." Irving Fisher, 1929.