Corrected Table 6. Boldface numbers were wrong in the original

| Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho^{j-1} r_{t+j}=a+b_{r}^{(k)}\left(d_{t}-p_{t}\right)+\delta_{t+k}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{t+j}=a+b_{r}^{(k)}\left(d_{t}-p_{t}\right)+\delta_{t+k}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | direct |  |  | implied |  |  | direct |  |  | implied |  |  |
|  | coeff. | p-val | , $\phi=$ | coeff. | p-val | e, $\phi=$ | coeff. | p-val | e, $\phi=$ | coeff. | p-valu | e, $\phi=$ |
| $k$ | $b_{r}^{(k)}$ | 0.94 | 0.99 | $b_{r}^{(k)}$ | 0.94 | 0.99 | $b_{r}^{(k)}$ | 0.94 | 0.99 | $b_{r}^{(k)}$ | 0.94 | 0.99 |
| 1 | 0.10 | 22 | 22 | 0.10 | 22 | 22 | 0.10 | 22 | 22 | 0.10 | 22 | 22 |
| 5 | 0.35 | 28 | 29 | 0.40 | 17 | 19 | 0.37 | 29 | 29 | 0.43 | 16 | 18 |
| 10 | 0.80 | 16 | 16 | 0.65 | 10 | 15 | 0.92 | 16 | 16 | 0.75 | 9.0 | 14 |
| 15 | 1.38 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 0.80 | 6.2 | 12 | 1.68 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 0.98 | 4.3 | 10 |
| 20 | 1.49 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 0.89 | 4.1 | 9.8 | 1.78 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 1.15 | 2.2 | 7.6 |
| $\infty$ |  |  |  | 1.04 | 1.8 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 1.64 | 0.5 | 8.9 |

Table 6. Long-horizon forecasting regressions. In each case $b_{r}^{(k)}$ gives the point estimate in the data. The column labeled " $p$-value" gives the percent probability value-i.e., the percentage of simulations in which the long-horizon regression coefficient $b_{r}^{(k)}$ exceeded the sample value $\hat{b}_{r}^{(k)} . \phi=0.94,0.99$ indicates the assumed dividend-yield autocorrelation $\phi$ in the null hypothesis. "Direct" constructs long-horizon returns and explicitly runs them on dividend yields. "Implied" calculates the indicated long-horizon regression coefficient from one-period regression coefficients. For example, the five-year weighted implied coefficient is calculated as $b_{r}^{(5)}=\sum_{j=1}^{5} \rho^{j-1} \phi^{j-1} b_{r}=\left(1-\rho^{5} \phi^{5}\right) /(1-$ $\rho \phi) b_{r}$.

